

88th District #2 Integrating Committee Meeting Minutes

September 27, 2002 – 8:00 a.m.

Nathanael Greene Lodge
6394 Wesselman Road
Cincinnati, OH 45248

Mr. Brayshaw, Chairman of the Integrating Committee, called the meeting to order at 8:18 a.m.

Board Members Present: Chairman - William Brayshaw, Mr. Tom Bryan, Ms. Eileen Enabnit, Mr. Richard Huddleston, Mr. William Moller, Mr. Joe Sykes and Mayor Dan Brooks

Excused Absence: Mayor Savage and Mr. Tim Riordan (*No Alternates Present*)

Support Staff & Guest Present: Hamilton County – Mr. Joe Cottrill, Eric Beck, John Beck, Doug Riddiough and Mr. Ted Hubbard (*Alternate for William Brayshaw*); City of Cincinnati – Mr. Dick Cline, Greg Long, Chris Nyberg and Prem Garg (*Alternate for Eileen Enabnit*); City of North College Hill – Mr. John Knuf; Green Township – Fred Schlimm (*Alternate for Tom Bryan*); Metropolitan Sewer District – Mr. Bob Campbell and Mr. Steven Jones; Hamilton County Development Company – Mr. David Main; and Port of Greater Cincinnati Development Authority – Ms. Kim Satzger

Mr. Sykes acknowledged that he needed to leave by 9:00 a.m. for a doctor appointment, due to his recent surgery. It was requested by Chairman Brayshaw to amend the order of business within the agenda. It was noted that a full quorum would be required for voting; therefore item (7-C) under "New Business" would be discussed after the approval of the minutes.

Introductions

◇ Chairman Brayshaw requested introductions of new members and guests.

Appointments

◇ The District #2 Integrating Committee received a letter dated September 20, 2002 from the City of Cincinnati. This letter was signed by the new City Manager, Ms. Valerie Lemmie, stating that effective immediately Ms. Eileen Enabnit, Director of Transportation & Engineering shall be appointed as one of the three City of Cincinnati's representatives on the District #2 Integrating Committee. Ms. Enabnit will be replacing Mr. Peter Heile, who will be retiring from City service on September 30, 2002. A formal letter of appreciation was forwarded to Mr. Heile by Chairman Brayshaw this date. (*New Term Schedule & Letter Attached*)

The following Alternate changes were noted:

- Mr. Prem Garg – Alternate for Ms. Eileen Enabnit
- Mr. Daniel Schlueter – Alternate for Mr. Timothy Riordan
- Mr. Brian Ashford – Alternate for Mr. William Moller - (*No Change*)

Approval of Minutes

Mr. Moller moved approval of the minutes from the 87th Integrating Committee Board Meeting dated May 31, 2002; seconded Mr. Sykes and the motion carried.

New Business

◇ Metropolitan Sewer District (*Request for Late Application Submission - Item 7. C.*)

It was acknowledged that MSD requested permission to address the Integrating Committee concerning the late filing of their Round #17 applications. Mr. Cottrill explained that MSD normally applies every year and that they had not filed their applications by the deadline time of 4:00 p.m. on Friday, September 20, 2002. On Monday, September 23, 2002 Mr. Cottrill called the Hamilton County Engineer's downtown office to see if a filing had been submitted by MSD. It was found out

at that time that MSD had not filed their applications at all. Mr. Cottrill then made a phone call to MSD to see why they did not file. During their conversation it was acknowledged that MSD had intended to file, but they were not aware of the deadline date of September 20th. Mr. Cottrill stated that he had e-mailed and sent packages in the mail to their consultant, whom they had used for the last five rounds. It was found out after the fact, that this consultant was not doing applications for MSD this round and that MSD was doing their applications internally.

Mr. Cottrill shared further to the Integrating Committee members that MSD acknowledged they were aware the applications were due in September, but were not aware of the exact date. MSD filed their applications on Monday, September 23, 2002. Mr. Cottrill further acknowledged the letter submitted by MSD requesting permission to address the Integrating Committee, as MSD believes there is just cause in requesting their applications be accepted for Round #17 due to the extenuating circumstances.

Chairman Brayshaw shared this as an internal problem and not the problem of MSD. The Hamilton County Engineer's Office had communicated with the wrong party and from this point forward they will communicate with the jurisdiction and not the consultants directly, other than supplying a duplicate copy as a backup.

Mr. Bryan noted that Springfield Township lost one of their projects a number of years ago and there was paperwork that was supposed to have been filed by the Hamilton County Engineer's Office. In that case, it was not done and Springfield Township was not reconsidered. There was another case of the inclusion of a piece of paper in a filing that was done in a timely basis that was not included. That item was also rejected.

The Integrating Committee agreed to listen to Bob Campbell and Steven Jones from MSD. Mr. Campbell acknowledged that Mr. Cottrill's version of the story was correct and they didn't have much more to add to it. Only that MSD puts through projects that are the traditional lining sewer rehabilitation projects that affect everyone in Hamilton County. It was requested that consideration be given to this particular issue, and hopefully the ruling will allow MSD to proceed. It was further acknowledged that Mr. Cottrill is putting things into place internally and that MSD will also put mechanisms internally, so this doesn't repeat itself again. Mr. Campbell requested the Integrating Committee's indulgence in allowing MSD to submit their applications that were submitted on Monday, September 23, 2002, and be considered for funding.

Mr. Cottrill pointed out that MSD has three projects (#43 through #45) noted on the spreadsheet that was distributed to everyone.

Mr. Cline pointed out to the Integrating Committee that all information is posted on the Hamilton County Engineer's website. All the jurisdictions are suppose to be downloading the current round paperwork that is needed for submittals. There is an expectation that jurisdictions can obtain this information off the website.

Mr. Jones responded by saying that in the past they had received a letter of notification, which should have caused the internal mechanism to begin. This year a notification was not received.

Mayor Brooks, Mr. Huddleston and Mr. Bryan shared their concerns of a precedence being set for future rounds.

After further questioning and open discussion, the following motion was made by Chairman Brayshaw to accept the additional projects that were submitted by MSD after the filing deadline. The vote was taken and the motion failed unanimously.

Brownfield Support Staff Update

- ◇ Mr. Main, Director of the Hamilton County Development Company and spokesperson for the Brownfield Support Staff, provided an update to the Integrating Committee. It was noted there were a total of sixteen projects approved by the Clean Ohio Council back in July of 2002. The following projects were derived out of Hamilton County:

- 1.) Village of Lockland – American Tissue
- 2.) Port Authority – Green Industries (Sharonville)

These projects will be on their way by late winter. There is a possibility that one or even both of the other projects may be funded as well. The Clean Ohio Council is required to spend all the money when they sell bonds and they will be sold on September 30, 2002. If there are monies left over, it appears that the North College Hill project, because it is below the \$200,000, could possibly get funding even though they were not in the top sixteen projects. In addition, if one of the \$3 million dollar projects in the top sixteen falls off for some reason, then the GM Globe project is the next project in line to get funded.

The next round has no timeline. Even though the bonds were approved by the constitutional amendment there is a requirement for “Legislative Action” to appropriate the next round of funding. The Ohio Department of Development (ODOD) will not begin the application process until after this legislative action. This will probably take place after the November election. They are looking to have the filings in December and the question is whether or not they will extend next years deadline beyond March 31, 2003.

The Brownfield Support Staff met last week and the following items were discussed along with suggestions for the Clean Ohio Council:

- It was strongly recommended that the applicant submit copies of the application to the Integrating Committee, as well as with the Ohio Department of Development and Public Library. This would provide the Brownfield Support Staff with a better chance to improve the applications.
- Based upon the current scoring, unless a project coming through does not have a base score in the mid 80's, they will probably not get funded for the next funding round.
- There are certain areas in the project scoring that need some changes and there will be some changes the Brownfield Support Staff will be recommending. There are also some things that the Clean Ohio Council is going to do.
- Under the Economic Development Benefit the Clean Ohio Council wants to change the “end user definition” to be more than just a developer.
- There needs to be a way to provide smaller communities, particularly the public sector and non-profit with opportunities to come in a score higher.
- Under the Environmental Impact, the environmental engineers feel strongly they should not be penalized for engineering controls.
- They are going to open up the ability to do more with asbestos and lead abatement.
- They would like to have more of an emphasis on reuse, remediation and rehabilitation, rather than demolition. There should be some type of scoring given towards rehabilitation, as well as demolition.

- Combination of Uses - Originally the intent was to have a “green component” with brownfield remediation. This would include a park or natural setting. Points were given on combination of uses if you had a retail or office.
- When it comes to the match and it deals with the percent of the applicant participation, this differentiates “legal” vs. “beneficial” applicant. There could be one coming up with the City of Cincinnati and the Center Hill Landfill, where the Port Authority will be the legal applicant and the beneficial applicant will be the City of Cincinnati. The Port Authority will not be putting any money into this project, so there will be a penalty or will not get points because the “applicant” is not committing.
- Vacant Properties – GM Globe lost points because they did not have a vacant site. This was an all or nothing proposition. The suggestion is rather than all or nothing, that maybe as a percentage they look at the type of tenants.
- Overall, the Clean Ohio Council did a good job on this for the first round and the Brownfield Support Staff felt they should be commended for it.
- Moving forward, the Brownfield Support Staff feels they need to be a little more proactive. They are looking at a couple of workshops, and looking to work with the applicants. There may also be a few changes on the Brownfield Support Staff, which include the possibility that Chip Gerhardt may go off the staff. Nothing has been put into writing, but the suggestion was to add an environmental attorney to the Brownfield Support Staff; several names have already been indicated.

It was requested by Chairman Brayshaw for the Brownfield Support Staff to put their recommendations into writing to the Integrating Committee, and then the Integrating Committee will review and then forward to the Clean Ohio Council for their review. Mr. Main acknowledged that he would get the recommendations to the Integrating Committee in a timely manner.

The Integrating Committee commended the Brownfield Support Staff for such a great job in such a short timeframe.

New Business *Continued...*

Chairman Brayshaw noted that Mr. Sykes needed to leave in a short period of time; the next order of business was moved to Item 7. B.

◇ **City of Cincinnati** *(Request for Existing Condition Rating for a Round #18 Project - Item 7. B.)*

Mr. Cline clarified to the Board that he was wearing his “City of Cincinnati Hat” and not “Support Staff Hat”. Mr. Cline noted the board had voted down a possible precedence setting negative action and is hoping that the board will accept a positive precedence setting action. He further explained that back in April Mayor Brooks led a discussion in the meeting about the fact that if a jurisdiction tries to do routine maintenance on a roadway, it ends up getting penalized when it applies for State Issue #2 funding for rehabilitation/reconstruction. When the condition is rated the Support Staff looks at the pavement surface. If you don’t overlay it or hold it together for a few more years until you can get funding, the Support Staff goes out and says that it is in good condition and assigns zero points to it. Condition rating is the most important issue in the rating system. In what Mayor Brooks mentioned is that the Support Staff start considering some modifier on that condition for when going into Round #18. The City of Cincinnati has a section of roadway on River Road that they will be coming in Round #18 with a widening project. It will be a LTIP job and depending on what condition of the roadway is at that time, they could be reconstructing or rehabbing. The factor remains that right now the pavement is in lousy shape. It is U.S. 50 and they have about 20,000 cars

per day and it is unacceptable trying to leave it the way it is in hopes to get a poor condition rating for Round #18. They have taken the step of issuing a contract; bids were opened two weeks ago to do a quick grind and overlay in order to hold it together for a while. They are still going to come in with a Round #18 project. What they are asking the Integrating Committee to do, is to direct the Support Staff to go out and take a look at it and assign a condition rating to it now and archive that for Round #18. As Mayor Brooks had previously said that it should be considered in Round #18. So the City is coming in Round #18 with this project and asking the Integrating Committee to basically follow-up what has been said at the April 18th meeting. As noted in the referenced letter, the Fram oil filter commercial says, "pay me a little now or pay a lot later". Some jurisdictions don't maintain their streets and we end up having to give them a grant to reconstruct it. That is far more expensive than had they done some routine maintenance to it and just required an extensive rehab. This maintenance contract is strictly City of Cincinnati money. They are putting their money forward to try to hold it together and they may even result in a lower request for Issue #2 funding later on if we do this. This could help the district as a whole if they are permitted to go ahead and use this condition rating assigned to it now. The teams are out their right now looking at the streets and they are going to hold off until the middle of October, until the Support Staff team can go out and take a look at it. They are asking that this move be voted upon. There have been arguments made that other jurisdictions have not had this same opportunity. This was an item that was put out in the April minutes and any jurisdiction that had these minutes could have seen that this was going to be looked upon for Round #18. The City of Cincinnati simply said, "Let's put your money where your mouth is". They are coming forward and asking for this to be rated now and consider it in some way. There will be limitations on how that condition rating is applied in Round #18. We just need it looked at and archived now before they go in an overlay it, and that is the City of Cincinnati's request.

Mr. Bryan asked why they did not file the project this time. Mr. Cline noted this as a very large expensive widening project requiring acquisition of homes and demolition. It is an ODOT job and the City of Cincinnati has to come up with more money to match the ODOT project. They are not able to come forward with it until next year. Timing wise with ODOT it would have to be a Round #18 job.

Chairman Brayshaw inquired if it is a possibility for LTIP rating by condition does not have near the impact on the rating system for LTIP as it does for SCIP. Could that be something that has been overlooked? It is more or less for LTIP it is the safety enhancements that take a higher priority than the condition of the pavement, as he remembered the rating system. Mr. Cline stated that all you have to do is miss it by a point.

Chairman Brayshaw noted that a similar condition on Winton Road. They have already band aided one lane, but they documented the condition of the pavement prior with video and photographs, hoping that would be archived and be recognized by the Support Staff when they reviewed the project for future funding. It was simply a grind and overlay and the pavement is quite old and has been there since 1965. It has well outlived its useful life as a pavement. The point being, why wouldn't that be a compromise, where we could suggest and not have to change our policy midstream. Suggest that you archive the condition in the video and pictures, in whatever way you can. Then if the Integrating Committee would agree to that, it would then be passed on to the Support Staff as a precondition. Mr. Cline stated that he would see that as simply a second hand type of rating. He noted that he and Bob Bass were out yesterday and they drove in River Road to take a look at it. There is nothing more definitive than a first hand view of it. By allowing the Support Staff to make that judgment based on actually going out and looking at it, as opposed to relying on video tapes and pictures. The ride quality is something that needs to be taken in to account.

Mr. Bryan questioned which Support Staff member do you send out, which questions the alignment. Mr. Cottrill suggested maybe the entire Support Staff to see if there would be a minimum of two separate rating teams.

Mr. Cline noted they currently have a primary team to go out and look at it. If there is an appeal on the condition from the jurisdictions, then a second support team goes out. Mr. Brayan stated there wouldn't be a mechanism for an appeal in this case. Mr. Cline noted that in this case that is something they would be giving up. They would simply be accepting whatever is given at that time. It beats going in and getting zero points if they overlay it.

Mayor Brooks noted this as not being a new problem. It was discussed when Mr. Seitz was on the board. In fact a meeting was held in the City of North College Hill about six or seven years ago with Mr. Seitz, Mr. Skyes, Mayor Savage, John Knuf and myself talking about this same problem. He noted again, as he did last April, that it is totally unfair that a jurisdiction is penalized when they fix their streets. That does not make any sense. In this case, he does not feel there is any harm, and if they are talking about being proactive here, who goes out and how we rate it in the future, whether we give points for a multiplier for somebody taking the initiative in trying to fix their streets. Maybe that is the way to give points for fixing a street as opposed as taking them away for whatever. This could be discussed and debated. He stated that he did not see any harm in archiving it and sending somebody out getting the job done. This would be a first step and is long overdue that we need to start giving some consideration to the smaller cities that do not have the money to go out and do these things. Trying to keep the streets together so that somebody does not lose a front end on a car or bus sinking into one of the streets, that is the whole point here. You take a city that has a general fund of \$2.5 million dollars, you are talking about one project and that is a whole years general fund for a small city. What are we suppose to do, they are out there busting their tail to keep their streets passable, but then on the other hand they can not fix it because they will be penalized because they will lose a grant. This does not make any sense.

Mr. Sykes noted a good example of this on the next round of funding in the Village of Cleves. The township spends about \$120,000 to \$150,000 per year to keep their streets upgraded and they never get a project. The Village of Cleves lets their streets go till they are gravel roads. They get millions of dollars and every time they have a round they get two or three projects. We are penalized for keeping our roads in good shape.

Mayor Brooks noted that he does not have any problem with what is being suggested and feels that it is a good idea.

Mr. Huddleston made the following motion supporting Mayor Brooks position and the City of Cincinnati's position that we request a Support Staff team to investigate this situation and archive that information. All of this is subject to the Integrating Committee's ability and willingness to review our rating system for Round #18 for next year; seconded by Mr. Sykes.

Mr. Cottrill reported that he had discussed this situation with the Ohio Public Works Commission. He noted that he wasn't in disagreement that we should be able to pre-rate, however he noted that he was in disagreement based on conversations with Larry Bicking, Director of the Ohio Public Works Commission, because it is not part of the Round #17 rating methodology to do this. According to the OPWC they would not recognize the score in the Round #18 applications if we did this, because it is not part of the rating methodology.

Chairman Brayshaw stated that he would like to take it one step further, "What if we put it in Round #18 and see if we can get approval."

Mr. Cottrill noted that when the Support Staff meets over the winter to come up with the new rating methodology that is voted on in the springtime, then it is sent up to OPWC for approval, that is fine. But this was not done in Round #17 to be able to pre-rate something for Round #18. OPWC is very much against this, as far as right now. They are not against for the future, but since it was not part of what was submitted in June 2002, they would not recognize the score.

Mayor Brooks asked if that system includes some sort of recognition or a point score or line item for previous maintenance of that in Round #18. They would not be pre-rating at that point. That somebody in the future has taken the initiative to save the State of Ohio money.

Mr. Cline stated they have taken a look at that internally and all the City of Cincinnati is asking for the group to go out and establish a condition. Is it poor, moderately poor or critical? How that statement is applied to the rating system can be used whatever is used in Round #18. If this is said to be critical that is "23" points, it doesn't have to go into Round #18 as "23" points on the rating system. Basically you are not carrying it over into the Round #18 rating system, you are simply using whatever condition you assigned to it. Then it will apply to whatever rating system that is in place at that time.

Mr. Bryan stated that we could also say that anything that was rated in Round #17, those ratings could apply to Round #18 too.

Mr. Cline stated they usually do, because they sometimes look at what rating was given the previous year just to be consistent.

Mr. Bryan stated that he wanted to make sure that we don't paint ourselves into a corner.

Chairman Brayshaw noted that some districts they actually do a two-year program and we have never received approval to do that.

Mayor Brooks noted this as information gathering.

Mr. Sykes stated that he had to leave and that his vote is "YES". Note for the record that Mr. Sykes does not have an Alternate to represent him today.

Chairman Brayshaw asked if they could have a vote and everyone agreed.

Mr. Huddleston moved that the Integrating Committee instruct the Support Staff to review the information based on the City of Cincinnati letter for the River Road condition. That it be archived and that subject to the Integrating Committee's willingness and ability to amend the Round #18 criteria would be looked at within that time.

Chairman Brayshaw moved to amend to include other jurisdictions that might want to do the same thing. That way we are not just favoring one jurisdiction.

Chairman Brayshaw noted the pre-vote and also noted that he hoped that Mr. Sykes would accept it.

The following members voted in favor of the motion.

*Chairman Brayshaw
Eileen Enabnit
Tom Bryan*

*Richard Huddleston
William Moller
Mayor Brooks*

Chairman Brayshaw noted that we would advise Mr. Sykes of the amendment to the previous motion. If Mr. Sykes is in agreement it will be official, otherwise then the Integrating Committee will have to reconsider it.

Noted for the record, the Recording Secretary made a telephone call to Mr. Sykes on Monday, September 30, 2002. It was confirmed during the phone conversation that he supported the amendments to the previous motions.

Mr. Cottrill requested the deadline date for the Support Staff to allow other jurisdictions to apply. Chairman Brayshaw stated that all jurisdictions would need to be notified of the decision of the Integrating Committee in order to keep good faith.

Mr. Bryan also noted that we should take the ratings that were determined in Round #17 and automatically consider those as a basis for the generation in Round #18. That would be the only way to do it fairly. Chairman Brayshaw noted that normally Round #17 rolls over into Round #18 for those who don't make the funding, so that would be an automatic thing.

Support Staff Update - Round #17

- ◊ Mr. Cottrill noted the Support Staff has received the applications as noted on the spreadsheet that was distributed earlier. *(Note this is minus the three projects that MSD had submitted after the deadline date)*. The Support Staff teams have their projects and will be in the field soon. They will be meeting to assign all the projects and ratings. These meeting dates will be October 15-16, 2002. As soon as they get the paperwork ready the board will receive copies in the mail. The next Integrating Committee meeting is scheduled for November 15, 2002. At that time the final priority rating for projects and appeals should be ready for the board to vote on.

After much discussion and debate, it was decided that the District Liaison would mail to the jurisdictions a letter outlining the requirements for having a project being pre-rated for Round #18. This will be done as soon as possible. The letters will be due by Monday, October 7, 2002.

There was further discussion involved with setting the framework and settling details/direction to the Support Staff.

New Business Continued...

Nominations for Small Government (Item 7. A.)

- ◊ Mr. Cottrill noted a letter that was received by the OPWC dated September 17, 2002. Copies were distributed to all members. The letter references the terms of five incumbent members of the Ohio Small Government Capital Improvements Commission expiring on March 28, 2003. They are seeking individuals who would be interested in a two-year term. In order to be eligible they must be a member of an Integrating Committee and appointed by township trustees.

Mr. Bryan noted that he was rejected the last time because of diversity. Chairman Brayshaw encouraged Mr. Bryan to reconsider and he accepted the nomination.

Chairman Brayshaw moved to nominate Mr. Bryan for the Small Government Commission; Mayor Brooks seconded the motion.

Due to the absence of Mr. Sykes, a full quorum was not present.

Mr. Cottrill noted the letter needed to be sent by November 1, 2002.

- ◊ Mr. Cottrill also referenced the handout that was distributed with term appointments and expiration dates. All terms expire on May 31, 2003. The board members will have to be reappointed by that date or get someone else to be appointed for them. This will be announced at the November 15, 2002 meeting, along with a letter indicating the same. This also applies to the Alternates.

Old Business ...Nothing to Report

Next Meeting Date & Time

- ◊ The next Integrating Committee Meeting will be held Friday, November 15, 2002 at the Nathanael Greene Lodge, in Green Township at 8:00 a.m. By consensus the meeting adjourned at 9:45 a.m.
- ◊ It was decided at a later date to have another Integrating Committee Meeting on Friday, October 11, 2002 at the Nathanael Greene Lodge, in Green Township at 11:00 a.m. The following meeting will then take place as previously indicated on November 15, 2002.

Respectfully submitted,

Cathy Listermann

Cathy Listermann

Recording Secretary

City of Cincinnati



Office of the City Manager

September 20, 2002

Room 152, City Hall
801 Plum Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202-5706
Phone (513) 352-5200
Fax (513) 352-6284

Valerie A. Lemmie
City Manager

Mr. William W. Brayshaw, P.E., P.S.
Chairman, District 2 Integrating Committee
10480 Burlington Road
Cincinnati, Ohio 45231

RE: Appointment of Integrating Committee Member for Peter Heile

Dear Mr. Brayshaw:

This is to inform you that, effective immediately, Ms. Eileen Enabnit, Director of Transportation & Engineering, is appointed as one of the three City of Cincinnati representatives on the District 2 Integrating Committee. Ms. Enabnit will replace Mr. Peter Heile, who will be retiring from City service on September 30, 2002.

The City Engineer, currently Mr. Prem Garg, will become Ms. Enabnit's alternate. Mr. Daniel Schlueter will become Mr. Timothy Riordan's alternate.

Mr. William Moller will continue as the City's third representative, with Mr. Brian Ashford continuing as his alternate.

Communications to Ms. Enabnit should be addressed to her at Room 450, City Hall. Her phone number is 352-2366, and faxes can be sent to 352-6246.

Sincerely,

Valerie A. Lemmie
City Manager

cc: Tim Riordan, Acting Deputy City Manager
Bill Moller, Finance
Peter Heile, Law
Brian Ashford, Budget
Dan Schlueter, Water Works
Eileen Enabnit, DOTE
Prem Garg, City Engineer
Joseph C. Vogel, P.E., Eng.
Chris Nyberg, Eng.
Greg Long, Eng.
Steve Niemeier, TPUD
Dick Cline, Eng.

County of Hamilton

WILLIAM W. BRAYSHAW, P.E.-P.S. COUNTY ENGINEER

700 COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING

138 EAST COURT STREET

CINCINNATI, OHIO 45202-1232

PHONE (513) 946-4250

FAX (513) 946-4288

September 27, 2002

*Mr. Pete Heile
Office of the City Solicitor
801 Plum Street – Room 214 City Hall
Cincinnati, OH 45202*

RE: District #2 Integrating Committee - Appreciation of Service

Dear Pete:

Congratulations on your upcoming retirement from the City of Cincinnati. On behalf of the District #2 Integrating Committee, I want to thank you personally for your service as Board Member. It has been great working with you for the past eight years. Everyone on this committee has valued your input and support. Your outstanding expertise will truly be missed.

We wish you the very best of retirement and thank you for serving on the District #2 Integrating Committee.

Very truly yours,

Bill
WILLIAM W. BRAYSHAW, P.E.-P.S.
HAMILTON COUNTY ENGINEER
CHAIRMAN - INTEGRATING COMMITTEE

WWB/cgl

cc: *Integrating Committee
Support Staff*



September 24, 2002

**METROPOLITAN SEWER DISTRICT
OF GREATER CINCINNATI**

Hamilton County - Managed
by the City of Cincinnati

1600 Gest Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45204
513-244-5122

William W. Brayshaw, P.E., P.S.
Hamilton County Engineer
ATTN: Joseph Cottrill
Hamilton County Engineer's Office
Ohio Public Works Commission District 2 Liaison
10480 Burlington Road
Cincinnati, Ohio 45231

**Re: MSDGC Application for SCIP Financial Assistance Program
Request for Late Application Submission**

Dear Mr. Cottrill,

**Board Of
County Commissioners**

John S. Dowlin
Tom Neyer, Jr.
Todd B. Portune

The Metropolitan Sewer District of Greater Cincinnati requests permission to address the SCIP Financial Assistance Program Committee in regard to the late application submitted on Monday, September 23, 2002. MSDGC believes there is just cause in requesting that the application(s) be accepted. We would like to present our case to the Committee on Friday, September 27, 2002.

County Administrator

David J. Krings

We appreciate your assistance in preparing for this process. We are glad to participate in this program. If you have any questions please contact Steve Jones at 244-1354.

City Manager

Valerie A. Lemmie

Sincerely,

Patrick T. Karney, P.E., D.E.E.
Sewers Director, Metropolitan Sewer District of Greater Cincinnati

Director

Patrick T. Karney, P.E., DEE

PTK/sej

Deputy Director

Robert J. Campbell, P.E., DEE

88th District #2 Integrating Committee Meeting

**Nathanael Greene Lodge
(First Floor Conference Room)
6394 Wesselman Road
Cincinnati, OH 45248**

September 27, 2002 – 8:00 a.m.

AGENDA

- 1.) Meeting Called to Order**
- 2.) Introductions (Members & Guests)**
 - A. Appointment of Eileen Enabnit – City of Cincinnati**
 - B. Reorganization of Alternates – Prem Garg & Daniel Schlueter**
 - C. Retirement of Pete Heile – Letter of Appreciation**
- 3.) Approval of the 87th Integrating Committee Meeting Minutes of 05/31/02**
- 4.) Brownfield Support Staff Update & Recommendations – David Main**
- 5.) Support Staff Update:**
 - A. Handouts for Round 17**
- 6.) Old Business**
- 7.) New Business**
 - A. Nominations for Small Government – Open Discussion**
 - B. Letter from City of Cincinnati – Preliminary Rating System – Open Discussion**
 - C. Metropolitan Sewer District – Request to Address Integrating Committee**
...Vote Requested
- 8.) Next Meeting – Friday, November 15, 2002 @ 8:00 a.m. (NGL)**
- 9.) Adjournment**

Website Address for SCIP/LTIP: www.hamilton-co.org/engineer/SCIP/ltip.htm

Website Address for Clean Ohio: www.pwc.state.oh.us/clean_ohio.htm

Website Address for Hamilton County Engineer: www.hamilton-co.org/engineer/nrac.htm

**ct #2 Integrating Committee Meeting
Green Township
Nathanael Greene Lodge
6394 Wesselman Road
Cincinnati, OH 45248**

September 27, 2002

BOARD ATTENDANCE LIST

[illegible]

88th District #2 Integrating Committee Meeting
Green Township
Nathanael Greene Lodge
6394 Wesselman Road
Cincinnati, OH 45248

September 27, 2002

VISITOR LIST

<u>NAME</u>	<u>AFFILIATION</u>	<u>PHONE</u>
John Kuf	NCH	521-7413
JOE COTTRILL	HAMCO	
DICK CLINE	CITY OF CINTI	352-6735
GREG LONG	CITY OF CINCINNATI	352-5289
JOHN BECK	HAMILTON County	946-4267
BOB Campbell	MSD	244-5120
FRED SCHLIMM	GREEN TOWNSHIP	574-8832
Prem Garg	City of Cincinnati	352-3720
Chris Nyberg	City of Cinti	352-3416
BILL Moller	City of Cinti	
Ted Hubbard	Ham. Co. Eng's.	946-8903
DOUG. RIDDINGHAM	" " "	
STEVEN JONES	MSD	244-1354

**ct #2 Integrating Committee Meeting
Green Township
Nathanael Greene Lodge
6394 Wesselman Road
Cincinnati, OH 45248**

September 27, 2002

VISITOR LIST

[illegible]